

AYDIN ADNAN MENDERES UNIVERSITY COURSE INFORMATION FORM

Course Title		Curriculum Ev	aluation						
Course Code		EPÖ585		Couse Level		Second Cycle (Master's Degree)			
ECTS Credit 5 Workload 125 (Hours)			Theory	3	Practice 0 Lab		Laboratory	0	
Objectives of the C	historical prod ogram evalua	of program of cess and devition the historietween quan valuation models. ating a training arm evaluation waluation ating a training	elopment rical devel titative an dels. g program	n.	aluation. key.	l in program evalua	ation.		
Course Content		educational product ar research method	rograms evalund attitude, evalunds used in p	ation, approa aluation turne program evalu	ches to ped to progration, dat	rogram evalua ram elements,	tion, prograr data types ι ols, ensuring	search problems in evaluation by locused in program eversible training and validation.	king at aluation
Work Placement N/A									
Planned Learning	Activities	and Teaching	Methods	Explanation	(Presenta	tion), Discussi	on, Case St	udy, Individual Stu	dy

Assessment Methods and Criteria								
Method		Quantity	Percentage (%)					
Midterm Examination		1	40					
Final Examination		1	60					

Recor	nmended or Required Reading
1	Aygören, F. & Er, K. O. (2018). Eğitimde program değerlendirme. Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
2	Bloom, Benjamin. (1979). İnsan nitelikleri ve okulda öğrenme. Çev: D. A. Özçelik Ankara: MEB Yayınevi
3	Bloom, B. S., Madaus, G. F., and J. T. Hastings. (1981). Evaluation to Improve Learning. New York: R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company.
4	Demirel, Özcan. (2005). Kuramdan Uygulamaya Eğitimde Program Geliştirme. Sekizinci Baskı. Ankara: Pegem Yayıncılık
5	Erden, M (2000). Eğitimde Program Değerlendirme. Ankara: Pegeme Akademi.
6	Erginer, E. (2000). Öğretimi Planlama ve Değerlendirme. Ankara: Anı Yayıncılık.
7	Karip, E. (Ed., 2008). Ölçme ve değerlendirme (7. Baskı). Ankara: Pegem Yayıncılık.
8	Oral, B. & Yazar, T. (2017). Eğitimde program geliştirme ve değerlendirme. Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
9	Ornstei,n, A.C., Pajak, E.F. & Ornstein, S.B. (2016). Eğitim programlarında güncel sorunlar (Çev. Ed. Nilay Bümen). Ankara: Pegem Yayıncılık
10	Saylor, J.G., Alexander, W. M. and A.J. Lewis. (1981). Curriculum planning for better teaching and learning. 4th edition. NY: Holt, Rinehart&Winston.
11	Ültanır, G. ((2016). Program değerlendirme. Ankara: Nobel Yayıncılık. Ankara: Pegeme Akademi.
12	Uşun, S. (2016). Eğitimde program değerlendirme (2. Baskı). Ankara: Anı Yayıncılık.
13	Uzunboylu, H. & Hürsen, Ç. (2008). Eğitim programları ve değerlendirilmesi. Ankara: Öğreti Pegem Akademi.
14	Yüksel, İ & Sağlam, M. (2012). Eğitimde program değerlendirme.
15	Weis, L., Cornbleth, C., Zeinchner, K. M., Apple M. W.(1990). Curriculum for tommorrow's schools. N.Y.: GSE Publications
16	Worthen, Sanders. Educational evaluation: theory and practice. Charles A. Jones Publishing Company. Ohio

Week	Weekly Detailed Course Contents					
1	Theoretical	In education, curriculum development and evaluation concepts				
2	Theoretical	Historical Process and Development of Program Evaluation in Education				
3	Theoretical	The program evaluation process development in Turkey				
4	Theoretical	Program değerlendirmede nicel ve nitel yaklaşımlar I				



5	Theoretical	Quantitative and qualitative approaches to program evaluation II: Mixed and multi-method research and data collection techniques
6	Theoretical	Purpose-based models in program evaluation I: Tyler model; Metfessel Michael Model Provus model
7	Theoretical	Purpose-based models in curriculum evaluation II: Scriven goal-independent model; Hammond model
8	Theoretical	Expertise-based models in program evaluation: Eisner (educational criticism) model
9	Intermediate Exam	Midterm Exam
10	Theoretical	Management (system) based models in program evaluation I: Stufflebeam (CIPP) model Saylor, Alexander and Lewis model Alkin (UCLA) model
11	Theoretical	Management (system) based models in program evaluation II: Bellon and Handler model - Stake's eligibility & probability model
12	Theoretical	Participation-based models in program evaluation I: Kirkpatrick model Demirel's DAPDEM model
13	Theoretical	Participation-based models in program evaluation II: Responder model of Stake; Cousins & Whitemore model; Guba & Lincoln_Natural model
14	Theoretical	Program evaluation in education: Examples from the relevant literature
15	Theoretical	Problems in Program Evaluation and term evaluation
16	Final Exam	Final Exam

Workload Calculation								
Activity	Quantity	Preparation	Duration	Total Workload				
Lecture - Theory	14	0	3	42				
Assignment	4	0	2	8				
Reading	11	0	2	22				
Quiz	14	1	2	42				
Midterm Examination	1	0	5	5				
Final Examination	1	0	6	6				
		To	otal Workload (Hours)	125				
[Total Workload (Hours) / 25*] = ECTS								
*25 hour workload is accepted as 1 ECTS								

Learn	ing Outcomes
1	Knowledge of basic concepts in curriculum evaluation
2	To be able to comprehend the relationship between curiculum development and curiculum evaluation
3	To be able to compare major types of curiculum evaluation
4	To be able to analyze major approaches to curiculum evaluation
5	To be able to analyze the major curiculum evaluation models
6	To be able to plan operations associated with curiculum evaluation
7	To be able to criticise a curiculum evaluation work in terms of the criteria to be considered
8	To be able to analyze the data collected in the operation of a curiculum evaluation
9	To be able to evaluate the development of curiculum evaluation studies in Turkey and in the world
10	To be able to follow national and international literature in the field of curiculum evaluation

Prog	ramme Outcomes (Curriculum and Instruction Master's Without Thesis)
1	To be able to use the basic concepts in the field of Curriculum Development and Instruction correctly
2	To be able to comprehend philosophical, social, historical and psychological principles influencing curriculuma
3	To be able to analyze theoretical bases of learning-teaching theories and approaches
4	To be able to evaluate any curriculum in accordance with scientific principles
5	To be able to prepare a curriculum design cooperatively in accordance with principles and criteria
6	To be able to follow contemporary implementations, and national and international academic publications
7	To be able to prioritize scientific methods and ethical principles in educational sciences while considering and implementing field specific professional issues
8	To be willing to do scientific research in the field of Curriculum and Instruction
9	To be able to appreciate curriculum development profession as a professional identity

Contribution of Learning Outcomes to Programme Outcomes 1:Very Low, 2:Low, 3:Medium, 4:High, 5:Very High



	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6	L7	L8	L9	L10
P1	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	3
P2	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
P3	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
P4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
P5	5	3	4	5	4	4	5	3	4	4
P6	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4
P7	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5
P8	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	3
P9	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5

