

AYDIN ADNAN MENDERES UNIVERSITY COURSE INFORMATION FORM

| Course Title | Semiology In | Landscape Ar | chitecture | e | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------|
| Course Code | ZPM530 | ZPM530 | | Couse Level | | Second Cycle (Master's Degree) | | |
| ECTS Credit 8 | Workload | 200 (Hours) | Theory | 3 | Practice | 0 | Laboratory | 0 |
| Objectives of the Cou | embedded in use their kno of landscape | o equip the students with the fundamental skills and knowledge of understanding the meanings mbedded in design, analyzing and utilizing the social and cultural messages in design. To help them se their knowledge to understand the environmental meaning produced as a cultural formation, the role f landscape meanings in environmental evaluation, to teach them how to search for environmental valuation, man-environment interaction and using decision making process in environmental design. | | | | | | |
| Course Content | indicators; ex architecture w that undertak semiology: sy Signification a Transformatio | amples of imp vill be emphasi e the task of co vntax, utility, m according to in on of the objec is and analysis | ementation a zed. Definition communication eaning; Factor dicator theor t as a compo | and analysi on of semic g with the e ors forming les; The sp nent of the | is in structured blogy; Indicator environment; T g the function a ace that comm indicator; New | environment theories; Indi hree different and communic hunicates with v image produ | As a system of and landscape cators as the ele dimensions of th ation of semiotics the environment iction from conce be elements in th | e s; ; pts: |
| Work Placement N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Planned Learning Activities and Teaching Methods | | Explanation | (Presenta | tion), Discussio | on, Case Stud | ly, Individual Stud | dy | |
| Name of Lecturer(s) | | | | | | | | |

| Assessment Methods and Criteria | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Method | Quantity | Percentage (%) | | | | |
| Midterm Examination | 1 | 30 | | | | |
| Final Examination | 1 | 40 | | | | |
| Assignment | 2 | 30 | | | | |

Recommended or Required Reading

| 1 | Barthes, R. 2005, Göstergebilimsel Serüven, çev. Mehmet Rifat-Sema Rifat, Dördüncü Baskı, YKY, İstanbul | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Barthes, R. 2006, Göstergeler İmparatorluğu, YKY, İstanbul | | | | | | |
| 3 | Berger, J. 2016, Görme Biçimleri, Metis Yayıncılık | | | | | | |
| 4 | Büyükyapıcı, S. 2002, Grafik Sanatlarda Sembolist Göstergeler, D.E.Ü. | | | | | | |
| 5 | Erkman, F. 1987, Göstergebilime Giriş, Birinci Basım, Alan Yayıncılık, İstanbul | | | | | | |
| 6 | Fiske, J., 1996, İletişim Çalışmalarına Giriş, çev. S. İrvanlı, Bilim Sanat Yayınları/Ark, Ankara | | | | | | |
| 7 | Guiraud, P. 1994, Göstergebilim, çev. Prof. Dr. M. Yalçın, İkinci Baskı, İmge Kitabevi, Ankara | | | | | | |
| 8 | Kalın, A. 1997, Bitkilerin Anlamsal Boyutu: Farklı Fonksiyonlardaki Bina ve Mekanlarla Anılabilen Bitkiler Üzerine Bir Araştırma KTÜ Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Trabzon | | | | | | |
| 9 | Merleau, M-P. 1996, Göz ve Tin: İstanbul Metis Yayınları | | | | | | |
| 10 | Parsa, S. ve Parsa, A. F. 2002, Göstergebilim Çözümlemeleri, İzmir: Sanat | | | | | | |
| 11 | Pavis, P. 2000, Gösterimlerin Çözümlemesi, İstanbul: Dost | | | | | | |
| 12 | Rifat, M. 2002, Gösterge Eleştirisi, İstanbul: Tavanarası | | | | | | |
| 13 | Rifat, M. 2008, Dilbilim ve Göstergebilim Kuramları, İstanbul: YKY | | | | | | |
| 14 | Vardar, B. 2001, Dilbilimin Temel İlke ve Kavramları, Multilingual Yabancı Dil Yayınları | | | | | | |
| 15 | Yücel T. 1982, Yapısalcılık, Can Yayınları | | | | | | |
| 16 | Yücel T. 1998, Söylemlerin İçinden, YKY, İstanbul | | | | | | |

| Week | Weekly Detailed Course Contents | | | | | |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Theoretical | The aim of the course is to provide information about its content and functioning. Basic concepts of semiology. | | | | |
| 2 | Theoretical | The history of semiotics, the indicator definition of U. Eco, the metaphorical model of A. J. Greimas (Paris School - Ecole de Paris). Discussion of reading parts. | | | | |
| 3 | Theoretical | The semiotic theorists: Charles Sanders Pierce, Charles William Morris. Discussion of reading parts. | | | | |



| 4 | Theoretical | The semiology theorists: Ferdinand de Saussure. Homework: Indicators and records we |
|----|-------------------|---|
| - | medicilear | encountered in social life will be noted and discussed. Discussion of reading parts. |
| 5 | Theoretical | The semiology theorists: Hjelmslev and Jakobson. Discussion of reading parts. |
| 6 | Theoretical | The semiology theorists: Roland Barthes. Discussion of reading parts. |
| 7 | Theoretical | The structure of the semiotics: explaining the basic concepts such as illustrating /show, index / string, code, structure, value, linguistic relation. Observations on samples. Discussion of reading parts. |
| 8 | Intermediate Exam | Midterm exam |
| 9 | Theoretical | Communication case and components: talker / receiver / message / code / channel / sender. Explanation of meaning in linguistic communication. Discussion of reading parts. |
| 10 | Theoretical | Information on disciplines (semantics / lexicology, semiology) that deal with the semantics. The formation conditions of meaning in a text / discourse, the production process of meaning and the explanation of semantic units. Discussion of reading parts. |
| 11 | Theoretical | Semiological analysis as a scientific approach: Informing about models and approaches. Discussion of reading parts. |
| 12 | Theoretical | Data collection related to application work. Compilation of semiotics applications in different area Environment constructed as a system of indicators. City displays and analysis. Discussion of reading parts. |
| 13 | Theoretical | Data collection related to application work. Compilation of semiotics applications in different area Landscape iconography: Symbolism of landscapes. Discussion of reading parts. |
| 14 | Theoretical | Evaluation of collected data and construction of application research. Discussion of reading parts |
| 15 | Theoretical | Examination of semiological works: Each student will prepare and present a 30 minute practical of theoretical semiological study and then discuss the group discussion. Discussion of reading parts |
| 16 | Final Exam | Final exam |

Workload Calculation

| Activity | | Quantity | Preparation | Duration | Total Workload | |
|--|--|----------|-------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Lecture - Theory | | 14 | 10 | 2 | 168 | |
| Assignment | | 2 | 4 | 1 | 10 | |
| Midterm Examination | | 1 | 9 | 1 | 10 | |
| Final Examination | | 1 | 11 | 1 | 12 | |
| Total Workload (Hours) | | | | | | |
| [Total Workload (Hours) / 25*] = ECTS | | | | | | |
| 25 hour workload is accepted as 1 ECTS | | | | | | |

Learning Outcomes

| 1 | They will be able to summarize semiotics and sign systems and explain how people communicate with their environment by using sign systems that carry meaning. |
|---|---|
| 2 | Describe how can a landscape designer use semiology (semiotics) to encode and decode environmental meanings. |
| 3 | Choose a specific research topic and apply encoding and decoding processes of meaning. |
| 4 | Evaluate the results of socially constructed environments and the their produced meanings. |
| 5 | Summarize meaning systems, perceptions and experiences of different environments and be able to explain human and his environment as a communicating systems. |

| Progr | Programme Outcomes (Landscape Architecture Master) | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | е | | | | | | |
| 2 | е | | | | | | |
| 3 | e | | | | | | |
| 4 | е | | | | | | |
| 5 | e | | | | | | |

Contribution of Learning Outcomes to Programme Outcomes 1: Very Low, 2: Low, 3: Medium, 4: High, 5: Very High

| | L1 | L2 | L3 | L4 | L5 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| P1 | 5 | 5 | | | |
| P2 | 4 | 4 | | | |
| P3 | | 5 | 5 | 4 | |
| P4 | | | | | 3 |
| P5 | | | | | 4 |

